Prepared for submission to JINST

13th Workshop on Resistive Plate Chamber and Related Detectors
February 22-26, 2016
Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium

arXiv:1605.00418v4 [physics.ins-det] 7 Jul 2016

# Performance of the SDHCAL technological prototype

**G. Grenier**[a] **On behalf of CALICE collaboration**

*IPNL/CNRS-IN2P3/Université Lyon 1,*
*4, rue Enrico Fermi, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France*

*E-mail:* grenier@ipnl.in2p3.fr

Abstract: The SDHCAL technological prototype is a $1 \times 1 \times 1.3$ m$^3$ high-granularity Semi-Digital Hadronic CALorimeter using Glass Resistive Plate Chambers as sensitive medium. It is one of the two HCAL options considered by the ILD Collaboration to be proposed for the detector of the future International Linear Collider project. The prototype is made of up to 50 GRPC detectors of 1 m$^2$ size and 3 mm thickness each with an embedded semi-digital electronics readout that is autotriggering and power-pulsed. The GRPC readout is finely segmented into pads of 1 cm$^2$. This proceeding describes the prototype, its operation and its performance in energy reconstruction. Aspects of the GRPC readout modelling and comparisons with simulations are also presented.

Keywords: GRPC; Calorimetry; Embedded electronics, Simulation, Digitisation

ArXiv ePrint: 1605.00418

## Contents


**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 SDHCAL concept** **1**

**3 The GRPC cassette** **2**
- 3.1 GRPC 2
- 3.2 Electronics 3

**4 Prototype operation** **3**

**5 Data analysis** **3**
- 5.1 Time analysis 3
- 5.2 Pion energy reconstruction 4

**6 Simulation** **5**
- 6.1 Efficiency modelling 5
- 6.2 Multiplicity modelling 5
- 6.3 Shower modelling 6

**7 Summary** **7**


## 1 Introduction

This article describes some performances of the Semi-Digital Hadronic CALorimeter (SDHCAL) technological prototype [1]. The SDHCAL is one of the two hadronic calorimeter options considered by the ILD (International Large Detector) Collaboration [2] to be proposed for the detector of the future International Linear Collider (ILC). ILC detectors are designed for Particle Flow Algorithms (PFA) [3]. For optimal use of PFA, calorimeters need to be homogeneous and finely segmented.

## 2 SDHCAL concept

The SDHCAL prototype meets the ILC requirements by the combination of various technological choices. The homogeneity is achieved by the use of large Glass Resistive Plate Chambers (GRPC) as the active medium combined with a power-pulsed embedded electronics. The power-pulsing suppresses the need of integrating a cooling circuit inside the detector by reducing the power consumption and heating. The homogeneity is further achieved by having all services (gas inflows and outflows, high voltage, data readout, etc.) only on one side of the GRPC and outside the HCAL.




**Figure 1**. Schematic cross-section view of a 1 $m^2$ GRPC cassette.

The SDHCAL prototype is a sampling calorimeter with 2 cm thick absorber layers, nearly one radiation length, and 6 mm thick active detectors. The prototype consists of a self-supporting mechanical structure [1] made of absorber plates. The structure is designed to be able to hold up to 50 GRPC cassettes. These cassettes contain the sensitive elements and their wrapping contributes to the absorber thickness.

## 3 The GRPC cassette

A GRPC cassette [1] has a 1 m×1 m area and is 11 mm thick (see figure 1). It contains one GRPC and its associated electronics. The cassette is a thin box consisting of two 2.5 mm thick stainless steel plates separated by 6 mm wide stainless steel spacers which form the walls of the box. One of the 2 plates has a 1 m×1.2 m area. The extra 20 cm are used to hold the PCBs used for the data acquisition as well as the gas outlets and the high voltage box. Precision machined stainless steel spacers, insulated from the GRPC, are making the cassette's sides. A polycarbonate mask is added around the ASICs to ensure that once the cassette is closed, the PCB is forced to stay into contact with the GRPC anode. This cassette structure ensures a homogeneous efficiency of the GRPC signal collection by the PCB copper pads.

### 3.1 GRPC

The GRPC is used in saturated avalanche mode [4]: the avalanche is initiated by the crossing of the 1.2 mm thick gas gap by one or more charged particles. The gap is framed by two electrodes made of borosilicate float glass [5]. The anode and cathode thicknesses are 0.7 mm and 1.1 mm respectively. The smaller anode thickness enhances the signal in the copper pad closest to the crossing particle and lowers the relative signal seen by neighbouring pads. The high voltage used is typically 7 kV. A glass fibre frame, width 3 mm, height 1.2 mm, is used to seal the gas volume. The operating gas is a mixture

of 93% of TFE, 5% of $C0_2$ and 2% of $SF_6$. TFE has been chosen for its low ionisation energy enabling efficient creation of avalanches. $CO_2$ and $SF_6$ are used as UV and electron quenchers respectively. Comparisons with other gas mixtures are described in [6].

### 3.2 Electronics

A 1 $m^2$ GRPC is tiled with 6 PCB of size of $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}$ $m^2$. The PCBs have eight layers. On one external face, 1536 copper pads of $1 \times 1$ $cm^2$ are printed. Copper pads are separated by 406 $\mu$m. On the opposite face, 24 HARDROC2 ASICs [7] are soldered. Each ASIC is connected to $8 \times 8$ pads through the PCB. The electronic channel cross-talk between two adjacent pads is less than 2% [8]. The readout electronics system then achieves the SDHCAL fine transversal segmentation.

Each of the 64 channels of an ASIC is read by a semi-digital readout (2-bit, 3 discriminators) covering a total channel dynamic range from 10 fC to 15 pC. The typical size of an avalanche inside the GRPC is around 1 $mm^2$. At high energy, the shower core is very dense and the gas gap can be crossed by more than 100 particles per $cm^2$. A simple binary readout will suffer from saturation effect. Semi-digital readout reduces this effect and improves the energy reconstruction.

When the ASIC is in acquisition mode, the 192 discriminator outputs are checked each 200 ns. If one is fired, an event is stored in an integrated digital memory. This auto-triggering mechanism enables the operation of the calorimeter without external triggers. An ASIC event consists of the ASIC identification number, a 3-byte clock counter and the output state of the 192 discriminators. The HARDROC2 can store up to 127 ASIC events. Once the memory is full, the ASIC raises a signal and waits for instruction from the external Data Acquisition system.

## 4 Prototype operation

The full prototype has been exposed to positive (SPS H2 line) and negative (SPS H6 line) pion and electron beams at the CERN SPS for 3 periods totalling 5 weeks. The beam optics were set to enlarge the beam and the particle rate was set to a maximum of 1000 particles per spill. The spill length was 9 seconds. The beam energy was ranging between 5 and 80 GeV.

For these beam tests, 48 GRPCs were inserted inside the absorber structure. The 3 thresholds for the 2-bit readout were set to 114 fC, 5 pC and 15 pC respectively, the average MIP induced charge being around 1.2 pC. The same electronics gain was used for all the channels and the high voltage applied on the GRPC was 6.9 kV. The proportion of dead channels was about 0.1%.

## 5 Data analysis

### 5.1 Time analysis

In the trigger-less data acquisition mode used for the test beam, all the activity in the detector is recorded: isolated hits (fired pads) due to noise, particles crossing the detector

or showering in it. The electronics runs with a clock tick of 200 ns. For the vast majority of clock ticks, there are no hits recorded in the prototype. Analysis of the random hit distribution leads to a mean noise estimation of 0.35 hits per 200 ns for the more than 460000 readout channels [1]. This observed noise rate is averaged over all ASICs and has negligible impact for hadronic calorimetry: hadronic showers usually produce hundreds of hits. Local variation of the gap, of the painting resistivity or of the temperature may lead to hot spots where the noise can reach hundreds of Hz. A few ASICs ($\simeq$ 2 %) located on the edge of very few chambers reach up to 4 kHz. The reason behind such behavior was found to be a slightly reduced frame height leading to a locally increased electric field [1]. Excluding hot spots, the noise rate is found to be of the order of 0.1 Hz/cm$^2$.

Potential physics events are reconstructed by combining hits from 3 consecutive clock ticks if the middle clock tick has at least 7 hits. To monitor the calorimeter performance, the efficiency and multiplicity of the GRPC response are estimated using beams of muons. The efficiency has been measured to be around 96% for each GRPC. The mean multiplicity, i.e. the mean number of fired pads per crossing particle, has been measured to be 1.7 hits [9].

### 5.2 Pion energy reconstruction

A simple selection of showers due to interacting pions is done for energy reconstruction: electrons are rejected by requiring that the shower starts after the fifth layer or that more than 30 layers have at least 4 hits. Muons are rejected by asking that the mean number of hits per fired layers should be above 2.2 and that at least 20% of the fired layers have a spatial hit distribution with a RMS above 5 cm. Neutral particles are rejected by requiring the presence of at least 4 hits in the first 5 layers. Details of the selection are described in [9].

Though the beam conditions were set to a low particle rate, the beam intensity was too high for GRPC full recovery time. A correction on the number of hit in showers as a function of the time $t$ since the spill starts have been implemented. This correction is linear in $t$ for hadronic showers [9] and cubic-polynomial for electromagnetic showers [10]. The correction is estimated from the data separately for each of the 3 readout thresholds. The three thresholds are used to tag pads fired by few, little or many crossing particles inside the shower.

The energy of a pion is reconstructed as

$$E_{reco} = \alpha(\mathrm{N_{hit}})\mathrm{N_{hit1}} + \beta(\mathrm{N_{hit}})\mathrm{N_{hit2}} + \gamma(\mathrm{N_{hit}})\mathrm{N_{hit3}} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathrm{N_{hit}} = \mathrm{N_{hit1}} + \mathrm{N_{hit2}} + \mathrm{N_{hit3}}$ and, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are quadratic functions. $\mathrm{N_{hit}}_j$ is the time-corrected number of hits for which the $j^{th}$ threshold is the highest crossed threshold. The calorimeter response is linear with deviations from linearity below 5% for pion energies between 7 GeV and 80 GeV. The corresponding resolution decreases from 25% for 5 GeV pions down to 7.7% for 80 GeV pions [9].




**Figure 2**. Left: threshold scan results with muon beams: average efficiency as a function of threshold for data (points) and modelling (solid line). Right: average pad multiplicity as a function of $\cos\theta$ with black circles and red squares for data and simulation respectively. $\theta$ is the incident angle of the muon crossing the GRPC.

## 6 Simulation

A GEANT4 [11] based simulation for the prototype has been implemented. A dedicated algorithm simulating the GRPC electronic response to a charged particle crossing has been developed and tuned on data [10]. This simulated response is obtained for one GRPC by looping on all charged particles crossing the chamber. For each of these particles, the induced charge is simulated to reproduce efficiency for isolated muon data. This charge is then dispatched on the neighbouring pads. The dispatching parameters are tuned to reproduce the muon multiplicity. After all induced charges have been simulated, the final step is to apply the thresholds.

### 6.1 Efficiency modelling

During special runs, the thresholds were varied in a few layers to measure the efficiency as a function of the threshold [10] as shown in figure 2 left. The charge induced by an avalanche can be modelled by a Polya distribution (eq. 6.1) and eq. 9 of [12]. The efficiency is modelled as the combination of the probability $\epsilon_0$ for a crossing charged particle to initiate an avalanche and the probability that the induced charge is above the threshold (eq. 6.2).

$$P(q) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\delta)}\left(\frac{1+\delta}{\bar{q}}\right)^{1+\delta} q^{\delta} e^{\left[-\frac{q}{\bar{q}}(1+\delta)\right]} \tag{6.1}$$

$$\varepsilon(q) = \varepsilon_0 - c\int_0^q P(q')dq' \tag{6.2}$$

### 6.2 Multiplicity modelling

To model the muon pad multiplicity, the simulated induced charge is increased by a factor related to the length of the charged particle travel in the gas gap. This correction is




**Figure 3**. Average number of hits, firing threshold 3 only (left) or any threshold (right), as a function of the beam energy for pion runs. Data are represented by black crosses, simulations are represented by red circles and open blue squares for FTFP_BERT_HP and QGSP_BERT_HP physics lists respectively. Relative deviations are also presented [10].

tuned to reproduce the angular dependence of the pad multiplicity as shown on figure 2 right [10]. The corrected induced charge spread on the anode is modeled by the sum of two 2D Gaussian distributions and each pad is given the fraction of the induced charge in front of it.

### 6.3 Shower modelling

All digitisation parameters are tuned to the muon data except the effect of charge screening by 2 avalanches in close vicinity. The avalanche screening modelling is tuned to reproduce the mean number of hits in electron showers. With this simulation complete, comparisons of data and simulation can be done as shown on figure 3. For the mean number of hits above the 3rd threshold inside pion showers, the agreement between data and simulation is good. The 3rd threshold is sensitive to the most dense part of the shower, that is the one mostly due to the shower electromagnetic fraction. On the contrary, the mean numbers of hits shows a discrepency for pion energies above 30 GeV. Comparisons on shower topological variables done in [13] indicate that modelling of hadronic showers by GEANT4 might be the source of the observed discrepencies between SDHCAL prototype data and simulation.

## 7 Summary

A prototype of a semi-digital imaging calorimeter has been build using GRPCs as sensitive detectors. The GRPCs are associated with an embedded readout electronics and allow a very fine segmentation of the readout of 9216 channels per $m^2$. The prototype shows good performance in reconstructing the pion energies. GRPC electronic response has been modelled in the simulation and the model has been tuned to data using muon beams and electron showers. This modelling is precise enough to compare data and simulation for pion showers inside the SDHCAL technological prototype, allowing to test models of hadronic interactions in matter.

## Acknowledgments

This work has been done within the SDHCAL group of the CALICE collaboration. The SDHCAL group comprises teams from IPNL (Lyon, France), LLR (Palaiseau, France), LAPP (Annecy, France), LPC (Clermont-Ferrand, France), OMEGA (Palaiseau, France), CIEMAT (Madrid, Spain), UCL (Louvain, Belgium), Universiteit Gent (Belgium), Tsinghua University (Beijing, China), Université de Tunis (Tunisia).

## References

[1] G. Baulieu, et al., *Construction and commissioning of a technological prototype of a high-granularity semi-digital hadronic calorimeter*, JINST **10** (2015) no.10, P10039 [arXiv:1506.05316 [physics.ins-det]].

[2] Ties Behnke, et al., *The International Linear Collider Technical Design Report - Volume 4: Detectors.*, 2013.

[3] J.C. Brient, *Improving the jet reconstruction with the particle flow method: An introduction.*, in proceedings of *XI International Conference on Calorimetry in Particle Physics Calor 2004*, March 29 – April 2, 2004, Perugia, Italy [physics:arXiv:0412149 [physics]]

[4] V. Ammosov, et al., *Study of RPCs with 1x1 cm$^2$ Read-Out Pads Operated in the Saturated Avalanche Mode.*, IHEP 2007-022.

[5] M. Bedjidian, et al., *Glass resistive plate chambers for a semi-digital HCAL.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **623** (2010) 120-122.

[6] R. Kieffer, *Caractérisation d'un calorimètre hadronique semi-digital pour le futur collisionneur ILC*, PhD Thesis, Université Lyon 1, CERN-THESIS-2011-223, INSPIRE-1206789. (in French)

[7] S. Callier, et al., *ROC chips for imaging calorimetry at the International Linear Collider*, JINST **9** (2014) C02022.

[8] M. Bedjidian, et al. *Performance of Glass Resistive Plate Chambers for a high granularity semi-digital calorimeter*, JINST **6** (2011) P02001.

[9] V. Buridon, et al., [CALICE Collaboration], *First results of the CALICE SDHCAL technological prototype*, JINST **11** (2016) no.04, P04001 [arXiv:1602.02276 [physics.ins-det]].

[10] Z. Deng, et al., [CALICE Collaboration], *Resistive Plate Chamber Digitization in a Hadronic Shower Environment*, JINST **11** (2016) no.06, P06014 arXiv:1604.04550 [physics.ins-det].

[11] S. Agostinelli, et al., [GEANT4 Collaboration], *GEANT4: A Simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **506** (2003) 250.

[12] P. Fonte, *Analytical calculation of the charge spectrum generated by ionizing particles in Resistive Plate Chambers at low gas gain*, JINST **8** (2013) P04017 [arXiv:1211.1743 [physics.ins-det]].

[13] A. Steen, *Etude des gerbes hadroniques à l'aide du prototype du calorimètre hadronique semi-digital et comparaison avec les modèles théoriques utilisés dans le logiciel GEANT4*, PhD Thesis, Université Lyon 1, INSPIRE-1432763. (in French)